UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Damien Peterson,) CASE NO. 1:09 CV 1012
Petitioner,) JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
vs.)
Keith Smith, Warden,) <u>Memorandum of Opinion and Order</u>
Respondent.	<i>)</i>)

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate

Judge Armstrong (Doc. 35) which recommends dismissal of the Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus pending before the Court. For the following reasons, the Report and Recommendation is

ACCEPTED.

Introduction

Petitioner, Damien Peterson, commenced this action with the filing of a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Magistrate Judge issued her Report and Recommendation recommending that the Petition be dismissed. Petitioner has not filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Case: 1:09-cv-01012-PAG Doc #: 36 Filed: 05/06/11 2 of 2. PageID #: 998

Standard of Review

Rule 8(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts

provides, "The judge must determine de novo any proposed finding or recommendation to which

objection is made. The judge may accept, reject, or modify any proposed finding or

recommendation." When no objections have been filed this Court need only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. See

Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.

Conclusion

The Magistrate Judge determined that the petition was procedurally defaulted with

respect to his abuse of discretion ground, and that petitioner's remaining grounds were without

merit. Petitioner has not objected to the findings. This Court agrees with the reasoning and

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and, having found no clear error, completely adopts her

factual and legal conclusions as its own and incorporates them herein by reference. Accordingly,

for the reasons set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Petition for

Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied. Furthermore, the Court declines to issue a certificate of

appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Date: <u>5/06/11</u>

2